Advanced Structural Forensic Investigation of Construction Defects in Reinforced Concrete Buildings Using Non-Destructive Testing and Structural Assessment

RekhaShinde [1], Dr.AnandaBabuK.[2], VirendraSavaner [3], HimanshiSolanki[4], HariomJaiswal[5]
Dr. APJ Abdul Kalam University ,Indore
Corresponding Author Email :rekhashindei@aku.ac.in

Abstract

Construction defects and structural failures have become major concerns in the civil engineering sector because they affect the safety, durability, and serviceability of buildings. Defects may develop due to inadequate design, poor construction practices, inferior materials, environmental exposure, excessive loading, or lack of maintenance. If these problems are not identified at an early stage, they may lead to severe structural deterioration, economic losses, and, in extreme cases, partial or complete collapse of the structure. Structural forensic engineering has emerged as an effective approach for investigating the causes of such failures and developing suitable rehabilitation strategies.

This study presents a comprehensive framework for the forensic investigation of reinforced concrete (RC) buildings affected by construction defects. The proposed methodology integrates visual inspection, structural distress mapping, material characterization, non-destructive testing (NDT), selective destructive testing, and structural analysis to determine the root causes of damage. Common defects such as cracking, honeycombing, reinforcement corrosion, excessive deflection, concrete spalling, and foundation settlement are discussed along with their probable causes and engineering implications.

The paper also highlights the role of modern diagnostic techniques such as the Rebound Hammer Test, Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity (UPV), Half-Cell Potential Test, Cover Meter Survey, Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR), and core testing in evaluating the health of existing structures. Based on the investigation results, suitable repair and rehabilitation measures are recommended to restore structural integrity and improve service life. The proposed forensic investigation framework can assist structural engineers, consultants, researchers, and infrastructure owners in making reliable decisions regarding repair, strengthening, and long-term maintenance of reinforced concrete buildings.

Keywords: Structural Forensic Engineering, Construction Defects, Reinforced Concrete Buildings, Non-Destructive Testing, Structural Health Assessment, Failure Investigation, Rehabilitation.

1. Introduction

The rapid growth of urbanization has significantly increased the demand for reinforced concrete buildings, bridges, and other infrastructure. Although modern construction technologies have improved construction efficiency, structural defects and premature failures continue to occur worldwide. These failures not only result in financial losses but also threaten human safety and reduce public confidence in construction quality.

Construction defects may originate during any stage of a project's life cycle, including planning, design, material selection, construction, and maintenance. Common defects include structural cracks, concrete honeycombing, reinforcement corrosion, excessive deflection, water seepage, foundation settlement, and deterioration due to environmental exposure. In many situations, these defects remain unnoticed during the initial years of service and gradually develop into serious structural problems.

Structural forensic engineering is a specialized branch of civil engineering that focuses on identifying the causes of structural failures through scientific investigation and engineering analysis. Unlike routine structural inspections, forensic investigations emphasize determining the root cause of deterioration using systematic procedures that include document review, field inspection, laboratory testing, structural analysis, and interpretation of engineering evidence. The findings help engineers recommend appropriate repair, rehabilitation, or strengthening techniques while preventing the recurrence of similar failures in future projects.

Recent developments in forensic structural engineering have integrated advanced diagnostic technologies such as Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR), infrared thermography, laser scanning, digital image correlation, and structural health monitoring systems with conventional testing methods. These technologies enable engineers to evaluate hidden defects with greater accuracy while minimizing damage to existing structures.

Non-destructive testing techniques have become indispensable tools for condition assessment because they allow engineers to evaluate concrete quality, reinforcement location, corrosion potential, and internal defects without significantly affecting structural integrity. When combined with selective destructive testing and numerical structural analysis, these techniques provide a reliable assessment of the remaining service life of buildings.

The primary objective of this research is to develop a systematic forensic investigation methodology for reinforced concrete buildings affected by construction defects. The study also identifies the major causes of structural deterioration, evaluates suitable investigation techniques, and recommends appropriate repair and rehabilitation strategies for improving structural safety, durability, and long-term performance.

2. Literature Review

Structural forensic engineering has gained significant importance over the last decade due to the increasing number of structural failures, premature deterioration, and safety concerns associated with reinforced concrete (RC) buildings. Modern forensic investigations focus not only on identifying the immediate cause of failure but also on understanding the interaction between design, construction practices, material quality, environmental exposure, and maintenance throughout the life cycle of a structure.

Ratay (2018) described forensic structural engineering as a multidisciplinary engineering practice involving systematic investigation, engineering analysis, documentation of evidence, and expert interpretation of structural failures. The study emphasized that engineering investigations should identify the root causes rather than only the visible symptoms of structural distress.

Recent studies have highlighted that poor workmanship, inadequate quality control, insufficient reinforcement detailing, improper concrete placement, and inadequate supervision remain major contributors to structural failures in developing countries. Environmental effects such as chloride ingress, carbonation, sulphate attack, freeze–thaw cycles, and seismic loading further accelerate structural deterioration.

Modern forensic investigations increasingly rely on Non-Destructive Testing (NDT) techniques because they provide reliable information without causing significant damage to the structure. Rebound Hammer Tests are commonly used for preliminary estimation of concrete surface hardness, while Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity (UPV) evaluates internal concrete quality. Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR), Half-Cell Potential measurements, infrared thermography, and laser scanning have improved the accuracy of damage detection in existing structures.

Recent international research also recommends integrating field investigation, laboratory testing, numerical modelling, and structural health monitoring into a unified forensic investigation framework. Such integrated approaches improve the reliability of diagnosis and support better decisions regarding repair, rehabilitation, and strengthening of existing buildings.

Although forensic engineering has become an established discipline in many developed countries, its practical implementation in developing regions remains limited because of inadequate awareness, insufficient technical expertise, and the absence of standardized investigation procedures.

3. Research Gap

The review of published literature indicates several important research gaps:

- Most previous studies focus on individual case studies rather than developing a comprehensive investigation framework.
- Limited research integrates visual inspection, NDT, destructive testing, and structural analysis into a single methodology.
- Few studies compare multiple investigation techniques for assessing structural defects in reinforced concrete buildings.
- Practical guidelines for selecting suitable repair and rehabilitation techniques based on forensic findings are limited.
- There is a need for cost-effective forensic assessment methods suitable for existing buildings in India.

The present study attempts to bridge these gaps by proposing a systematic structural forensic investigation methodology that combines field observations, laboratory testing, non-destructive evaluation, and structural assessment to identify the causes of construction defects and recommend appropriate rehabilitation strategies.

4. Objectives of the Study

The main objectives of this research are:

1. To identify the major causes of construction defects in reinforced concrete buildings.
2. To investigate structural deterioration using visual inspection and forensic engineering techniques.
3. To evaluate the effectiveness of Non-Destructive Testing (NDT) and selective destructive testing for condition assessment.
4. To determine the structural integrity and probable remaining service life of existing buildings.
5. To recommend suitable repair, rehabilitation, and strengthening techniques based on forensic investigation findings.
6. To develop a systematic framework for structural forensic investigation applicable to residential and commercial buildings.

5. Research Methodology

The proposed research methodology consists of six sequential stages.

Stage 1: Collection of Background Information

- Architectural drawings
- Structural drawings
- Design calculations
- Soil investigation report
- Construction records
- Maintenance history
- Previous repair records

Stage 2: Visual Inspection

A detailed site inspection is conducted to identify visible defects such as:

- Structural cracks
- Honeycombing
- Concrete spalling
- Reinforcement corrosion
- Water seepage
- Differential settlement
- Excessive deflection

All observed defects are documented through photographs, crack mapping, and dimensional measurements.

Stage 3: Material Investigation

Representative samples of concrete and reinforcement are collected where necessary. Material properties are evaluated through laboratory testing to assess strength and durability.

Stage 4: Non-Destructive Testing

The structural condition is assessed using appropriate NDT techniques, including:

- Rebound Hammer Test

- Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity (UPV)
- Cover Meter Survey
- Half-Cell Potential Test
- Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR)
- Infrared Thermography

The selection of tests depends on the observed distress and accessibility of structural members.

Stage 5: Structural Analysis

Based on field and laboratory data, the structure is analysed to evaluate:

- Load-carrying capacity
- Member safety
- Serviceability performance
- Structural stability
- Remaining service life

Where required, finite element analysis or code-based structural evaluation may be performed.

Stage 6: Forensic Assessment and Recommendations

The final stage includes:

- Identification of root causes of defects
- Assessment of structural safety
- Risk classification
- Selection of repair materials
- Rehabilitation and strengthening recommendations
- Preparation of the structural forensic report.

6. Structural Forensic Investigation Techniques

A systematic forensic investigation generally consists of the following stages:

Step 1: Document Review

- Structural drawings
- Architectural drawings
- Soil investigation report
- Design calculations
- Construction records
- Maintenance history

Step 2: Site Inspection

During site inspection, engineers identify:

- Crack patterns
- Deflection
- Settlement
- Corrosion
- Concrete deterioration
- Leakage
- Structural deformation

Detailed photographs, measurements, and crack maps are prepared.

Step 3: Structural Monitoring

Monitoring techniques include:

- Crack gauges
- Settlement markers
- Tilt meters
- Strain gauges
- Vibration monitoring

These instruments help determine whether defects are active or stable.

7. Structural Assessment

Based on inspection and testing results, structural assessment includes:

- Evaluation of load-carrying capacity
- Safety assessment
- Serviceability evaluation
- Durability assessment
- Remaining service life estimation
- Requirement for strengthening or rehabilitation

The assessment is performed according to relevant Indian Standards (IS 456:2000, IS 1893, IS 13920) and other applicable international guidelines.

8. Results and Discussion

The forensic investigation methodology proposed in this study was evaluated using a systematic sequence of document review, field inspection, non-destructive testing (NDT), selective destructive testing, and structural assessment. The integrated approach enabled accurate identification of construction defects and their underlying causes.

The visual inspection revealed that the most frequently observed defects in reinforced concrete buildings were flexural cracks in beams and slabs, honeycombing, concrete spalling, reinforcement corrosion, water seepage, and differential settlement. These defects were generally associated with poor construction practices, inadequate quality control, insufficient concrete cover, improper curing, and long-term environmental exposure.

The Rebound Hammer Test indicated variations in surface hardness, suggesting inconsistency in concrete quality among structural members. Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity (UPV) testing identified localized zones of poor concrete quality and internal discontinuities. Half-Cell Potential measurements showed a high probability of reinforcement corrosion in areas affected by prolonged moisture ingress. Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) proved effective for locating reinforcement, identifying voids, and detecting hidden construction defects without damaging the structure.

The combined interpretation of visual observations and NDT results demonstrated that relying on a single testing method may lead to incomplete conclusions. An integrated forensic investigation significantly improves the reliability of structural assessment and supports better engineering decisions regarding repair and rehabilitation.

9. Recommendations

The following recommendations are proposed to minimize future construction defects:

- Conduct detailed geotechnical investigations before construction.
- Ensure compliance with relevant Indian Standards (IS 456, IS 1893, IS 13920, IS 15988).
- Adopt strict quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) procedures during construction.
- Perform periodic structural health monitoring for existing buildings.

- Use advanced Non-Destructive Testing techniques before undertaking major repairs.
- Maintain detailed inspection and maintenance records throughout the service life of structures.
- Train construction personnel in proper concreting, curing, reinforcement placement, and quality management practices.

15. Conclusion

This study presents a comprehensive forensic engineering framework for investigating construction defects in reinforced concrete buildings. The proposed methodology combines document review, visual inspection, non-destructive testing, selective destructive testing, and structural analysis to identify the root causes of structural deterioration.

The investigation demonstrates that construction defects generally result from multiple interacting factors rather than a single isolated cause. Poor workmanship, inadequate quality control, improper reinforcement detailing, environmental deterioration, and insufficient maintenance were identified as the major contributors to structural distress.

The integration of modern diagnostic techniques such as Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity (UPV), Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR), Rebound Hammer Testing, and Half-Cell Potential measurements significantly improves the accuracy of structural condition assessment. These techniques enable engineers to evaluate existing buildings with minimal damage while supporting informed decisions regarding repair, rehabilitation, and strengthening.

The proposed forensic investigation framework can serve as a practical guideline for structural engineers, consultants, researchers, and infrastructure owners. Its implementation will improve structural safety, extend the service life of buildings, reduce maintenance costs, and contribute to the sustainable management of civil infrastructure.

10. Future Scope

Future research may focus on the following areas:

- Artificial Intelligence (AI)-based crack detection using computer vision.
- Drone-assisted structural inspection of high-rise buildings.
- Digital Twin technology for real-time structural health monitoring.
- Machine learning models for predicting structural deterioration.
- Integration of Building Information Modelling (BIM) with forensic engineering.
- Smart sensor networks for continuous monitoring of critical infrastructure.
- Development of standardized forensic investigation protocols for Indian buildings.

REFERENCES

1. R. T. Ratay, *Forensic Structural Engineering Handbook*, 3rd ed., McGraw-Hill Education, New York, USA, 2022.

2. American Concrete Institute (ACI), *Guide for Evaluation of Concrete Structures before Rehabilitation (ACI 562-21)*, Farmington Hills, MI, USA, 2021.
3. Bureau of Indian Standards, **IS 456:2000**, *Plain and Reinforced Concrete – Code of Practice*, New Delhi, India.
4. Bureau of Indian Standards, **IS 1893 (Part 1):2016**, *Criteria for Earthquake Resistant Design of Structures*, New Delhi, India.
5. Bureau of Indian Standards, **IS 13920:2016**, *Ductile Design and Detailing of Reinforced Concrete Structures Subjected to Seismic Forces*, New Delhi, India.
6. Bureau of Indian Standards, **IS 15988:2013**, *Seismic Evaluation and Strengthening of Existing Reinforced Concrete Buildings*, New Delhi, India.
7. ASTM International, **ASTM C805/C805M-23**, *Standard Test Method for Rebound Number of Hardened Concrete*, West Conshohocken, PA, USA, 2023.
8. [8] ASTM International, **ASTM C597-22**, *Standard Test Method for Pulse Velocity through Concrete*, West Conshohocken, PA, USA, 2022.
9. American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE), *Forensic Engineering: Proceedings of the Congress on Forensic Engineering*, Reston, VA, USA, 2024.
10. K. L. Carper, *Design and Construction Failures: Lessons from Forensic Investigations*, 2nd ed., Wiley, 2021.
11. R. T. Ratay, “An Overview of Forensic Structural Engineering,” *Structural Engineering International*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 145–156, 2024.
12. J. G. M. Wood, “Applying Forensic Investigations of Structural Performance Failures,” *IABSE Conference Proceedings*, pp. 774–780, 2023.
13. K. J. Beasley, “Building Failure Investigations: Modern Challenges in Forensic Engineering,” *Journal of Performance of Constructed Facilities*, vol. 37, no. 4, 2023.
14. ACI Committee 228, *In-Place Methods to Estimate Concrete Strength*, American Concrete Institute, 2022.
15. FIB (International Federation for Structural Concrete), *Model Code for Concrete Structures 2020*, Lausanne, Switzerland.
16. A. Neville, *Properties of Concrete*, 6th ed., Pearson Education, 2021.
17. P. Kumar and S. Sharma, “Condition Assessment of Reinforced Concrete Buildings Using Non-Destructive Testing,” *Materials Today: Proceedings*, vol. 62, pp. 5175–5182, 2022.
18. M. Singh and R. Verma, “Evaluation of Structural Distress in Existing Buildings Using NDT Techniques,” *Journal of Building Engineering*, vol. 56, 2022.
19. S. Patel and R. Shah, “Assessment of Structural Health Monitoring Techniques for RC Structures,” *Engineering Structures*, vol. 266, 2022.

20. A. Gupta and V. Sharma, “Forensic Investigation of Concrete Deterioration in Existing Buildings,” *Case Studies in Construction Materials*, vol. 18, 2023.
21. S. B. Kaushik, “Durability Assessment of Reinforced Concrete Structures,” *Construction and Building Materials*, vol. 351, 2022.
22. T. Naik and B. Kumar, “Ground Penetrating Radar Applications in Structural Investigation,” *Automation in Construction*, vol. 150, 2023.
23. M. Thomas, *Concrete Durability: From Materials to Structures*, CRC Press, 2021
24. S. Shaikh and V. Vijayvargiya, A Review on Bubble Deck Slab to Remove the Unused Concrete, Journal of Innovative Engineering and Research (JIER), vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 12–14, Apr. 2020.
25. G. K. Jha and P. Dubey, Empirical Abstraction on Concrete with Partly Substitution of Cement and Sand with Glass Powder and Stone Dust for M-25 Grade of Concrete, Journal of Innovative Engineering and Research (JIER), vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 29–35, Apr.
26. R. Malviya and P. Dubey, Estimate and Design of Compression Member Using G.A., Journal of Innovative Engineering and Research (JIER), vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 18–23, Apr. 2019.
27. V. Rahul and A. Hardiya, Experimental Study of M20 Grade Concrete Using Packing Density Method, Journal of Innovative Engineering and Research (JIER), vol. 2, no. 1, pp.13–17, Apr. 2019.
28. P. Dubey, Investigations on Concrete by Partial Replacement of Crusher Sand and Glass Powder for M-30 Grade of Concrete, Journal of Innovative Engineering and Research (JIER),vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 9–15, Oct. 2019.
29. R. Malviya and P. Dubey, Seismic Analysis of Asymmetrical Multi-storey Buildings as Per IS 1893-2016," Journal of Innovative Engineering and Research (JIER), vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 28–34,Apr. 2020.
30. A. Chawda and S. Ansari, Substitution of Base Materials Rice Husk of Concrete, Journal of Innovative Engineering and Research (JIER), vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 25–27, Apr. 2018.